

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/971,929	TAKANO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	1654	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Mr. Chad Rink. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 July 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*18*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Rink was contacted to correct the claim dependence for claim 18 to address a 'printer rush' request. Mr. Rink agreed to amend the claim 18 to depend from claim 1.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)